

RESEARCH AND PUBLICATION CENTER

[] Main [] Branch _____

THESIS & CAPSTONE II

(Evaluation Form)

Title:						
Proponents:						
Instructions	com corr corr	ponents of this esponding score esponding weigh	teria-indicators and rating thesis work. Please reac of each item on the blank p t and enter it on last colun de. Space is provided for y	the criterian provided for. In. Add the p	carefully an Multiply the soints in the la	d place the core with its st column to
Rating Scale	e:					
Score		Equivalent	Narrativ	ve Description		
100.00 - 9	5.00	Outstanding	The indicators of the crit manner.	terion are carr	ied out in an e	xcellent
94.99 - 90	.00	Very Good	The indicators of the crit	terion are carr	ied out eviden	tly.
89.99 – 85	5.00	Good	The indicators of the crit	terion are carr	ied out fairly.	
84.99 – 80	0.00	Poor	The indicators of the crit	terion are carr	ied out deficie	ntly.
79.99 – 75	5.00	Very Poor	The indicators of the crit	terion are carr	ied out very de	eficiently.
74.99 – 70	0.00	Missing	The indicators of the crit	terion are not	evident at all.	
N/A			Not Applicable			
		Criterior	ı	Score	Weight	Points
An expose Description Specific, The scope	Introduction An exposition on the Project Context, Purpose and Description of the study are given. The objectives are specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and time-bound. The scope and delimitations of the study are clearly explained.			25%		
Related Li		ire				

There is evidence that the writer made a scholarly attempt to find his precursors in the field. The review provides relevant discussion on theories, concepts, facts, and/or

adequate and carefully selected. A Synthesis is provided at the end of the section describing the review's significance.

ideas related to the subject. The materials are current,

10%



RESEARCH AND PUBLICATION CENTER

[] Main [] Branch _____

THESIS & CAPSTONE II

(Evaluation Form)

tains script.	15%	
is		
	15%	
	100%	
	•	r Revision
	and orily.	and 15% orily. 100% [] Accepted with Minor